



Good Life. Great Mission.

DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	1 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

EFFECTIVE: March 9, 1980  
REVISED: July 31, 2015  
REVIEWED: July 31, 2016  
REVISED: July 31, 2017  
REVISED: September 30, 2018  
REVISED: December 15, 2019  
REVISED: January 31, 2020  
REVISED: December 31, 2021  
REVISED: December 31, 2022  
REVISED: December 31, 2023  
REVISED: August 31, 2024  
REVISED: December 31, 2025

#### SUMMARY OF REVISION/REVIEW

Policy Directive 025-006 incorporated. PROCESS – I.A.4. – Language updated. IV. – Language updated. V.A. - Language updated. V.B. – Language updated. V.C. – Language updated. V.D. - Language updated. V.E. - Language updated. V.F. - Language updated. V.G. - Language updated. Minor grammar changes throughout.  
ATTACHMENTS – Removed and relettered attachments.

APPROVED:

Rob Jeffreys (Dec 10, 2025 11:27:00 CST)

Rob Jeffreys, Director  
Nebraska Department of Correctional Services

 Good Life. Great Mission. DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES	<b>POLICY</b> <b>CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS</b>		
	<b>EFFECTIVE DATE</b> December 31, 2025	<b>NUMBER</b> 201.01	<b>PAGE</b> 2 of 17
	<b>STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY</b> <b>Law Library Access</b>		

### PURPOSE

The Nebraska Department of Correctional Services (NDCS) incarceration classification system is a process of assessment, placement and management of individuals committed to NDCS. All classification decisions are made without regard to an incarcerated individuals' race, religion, national origin, sex, disability, or political views. This policy provides for the organization and function of the classification system and identifies the process for determining custody assignment. (ACRS-6A-12)

The objectives of the classification system are to help identify the least restrictive environment for each individual, facilitate the timely movement of individuals to the least restrictive environment, identify individual needs and recommend programming to meet those needs, and to ensure the most difficult classification decisions are made at the highest level of authority necessary. (ACI-2B-02, ACRS-2A-08, ACRS-5A-01)

Classification of individuals into appropriate custody levels ensures they are assigned to NDCS facilities equipped to provide appropriate security. Classification enhances the safety of the public, NDCS team members, and incarcerated individuals by providing the appropriate level of control and management within the least restrictive custody level possible. (ACI-5B-02)

Each facility, consistent with its functions and the nature of its incarcerated population and programs, shall develop its own Procedure within the limits and guidelines that follow.

### DEFINITIONS

- I. **DE FACTO LIFE** – A person's age plus his/her PED (TRD if no PED) is equal to or greater than 85.
- II. **INSTITUTIONAL RISK ASSESSMENT (IRA)** – A validated, actuarial instrument that identifies a person's likelihood to commit a certain category of rule infractions during their incarceration. (Rules are defined in the Nebraska Administrative Code Title 68, Chapter 5 and categorized for risk purposes as violent, serious, non-serious, or low.)
- III. **LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE (LWOP)** – Court-ordered sentence with a minimum term of LIFE and a maximum term of LIFE. Sentence does not provide for parole eligibility. This sentence is often referred to as a "life" sentence.
- IV. **PAROLE ELIGIBILITY DATE (PED)** – The date at which a person is eligible for parole accounting for sentence length, application of mandatory minimum terms and the appropriate good time law. Generally, this is half of the minimum term.
- V. **SCORED RISK** – The automated score provided upon completion of the IRA. (violent, serious, non-serious, and low)
- VI. **TENTATIVE RELEASE DATE (TRD)** – The date at which a person will be discharged from prison accounting for sentence length, application of any mandatory minimum terms and the appropriate good time law. Generally, this is half of the maximum term.

### PROCESS

- I. **ADMIMISTRATIVE COORDINATION AND REVIEW**

The assistant deputy director–classification is responsible for the following functions.

 <b>DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES</b>	<b>POLICY</b> <b>CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS</b>		
	<b>EFFECTIVE DATE</b> <b>December 31, 2025</b>	<b>NUMBER</b> <b>201.01</b>	<b>PAGE</b> <b>3 of 17</b>
	<b>STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY</b> <b>Law Library Access</b>		

A. Formulation of Policies which include:

1. Custody designation
2. Central monitoring
3. Risk/Needs/Responsivity
4. Success plan
5. Facility transfers

B. Evaluation and Monitoring of the Incarcerated individual Classification System

Utilizing the Nebraska Inmate Case Management System (NICaMS), the assistant deputy director-classification will develop data dashboards to monitor and evaluate the classification system to determine whether objectives are being met. Notification to the deputy director-rehabilitative services will be provided of any recommended changes or enhancements needed. Objectives of the classification system may include, but are not limited to, timely completion of custody reviews, use of and reasons for custody assignment overrides, length of time between custody assignment and transfer. (ACI-5B-01)

C. Serve as the highest classification authority.

D. Central Transfer Authority

Serve as the central transfer authority, ensuring transfers are conducted in accordance with the decisions made by the appropriate classification authority.

E. Specialized Training

Development and oversight of the delivery of specialized training related to all aspects of classification.

II. **CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITIES**

Classification authorities are organized into four separate decision-making levels. The relative authority and review responsibility of each level will correspond with their specific level of organizational command.

A. Unit Classification Committee (UCC)

The unit manager, or in facilities where there are no unit managers the unit case manager, shall serve as chairperson of the UCC, which is comprised of representatives from unit management and others as designated by the warden. The UCC will initiate all classification and reclassification actions, conduct the classification hearing, which includes incarcerated individual participation, and make specific recommendations.

B. Institutional Classification Committee (ICC)

The ICC for secure facilities is chaired by the facility's unit administrator (or assistant warden if no unit administrator). The facility warden will identify at least one representative



DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	4 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

from custody, unit management, behavioral health, intel/gang administration and additional representatives from those areas or other areas to comprise the ICC.

The ICC for community custody facilities is chaired by the unit administrator (or assistant warden if no unit administrator) and is comprised of representatives identified by the warden representing custody and unit management; behavioral health and intel/gang administration representation may be requested as needed. (ACI-5B-03)

Initial classification actions will be completed by the UCC and submitted to the unit administrator for "ICC" approval. The ICC must review all reclassification actions. The ICC shall meet weekly to review and discuss each reclassification action. .

1. All custody classification actions and reclassification actions that do not require an override or result in transfer to another facility (promotion to community B custody must be approved by the warden).
2. All classification actions involving on-unit work assignments and/or reassignments.

C. Warden

The facility warden will review all classification actions requiring assistant deputy director-classification level review (see Section II.A.) and is the classification authority for the following decisions:

1. All initial classification actions, excluding minimum B and community A; and reclassifications resulting from a parole violation or return to a secure facility excluding minimum B and community A.
2. All custody reclassification actions in which an override is used
3. All custody classification actions for assignment to, continuation on or removal from protective custody, which do not result in a transfer to another facility.
4. All custody classification actions promoting from community A to community B custody.
5. All good time restoration requests, consistent with Policy 117.02 *Restoration of Good Time*.
6. All off-unit work assignments and/or reassignments. (see III.A.6.c below)
7. All appeals of classification decisions made by the ICC. The appeal decision must be within the warden's authority to approve. If the recommendation from the warden is to a custody level requiring assistant deputy director-classification approval, the appeal must be forwarded to the assistant deputy director-classification for review and approval/denial.
8. Custody reclassification actions which will promote/demote more than one custody level. (Does not apply to initial classification, parole violations or returns from secure facilities.)



POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	5 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

#### D. Assistant Deputy Director-Classification

The assistant deputy director-classification serves as the agency director's designee and holds the highest classification authority within NDCS. The decisions made by the director/designee are final and cannot be appealed.

1. All classifications resulting in demotion to 1A custody or promotion from 1A custody.
2. All custody classification actions and reclassification actions to minimum B or community A custody.
3. Appeals related to classification actions approved by the warden or as assigned by the director/designee.

### III. GENERAL CLASSIFICATION PROCEDURES

#### A. Classification Requirements

The following procedures are required in all classification actions.

1. Notice: Individuals shall be provided written notice of their classification hearing at least 48 hours prior to the hearing. Notice will be documented on a 48-hour notice custody classification hearing waiver. An individual may waive in writing the 48-hour notice of the hearing. If the individual refuses to sign the notice of hearing, such refusal shall be documented by appropriate team members. (ACI-5B-08)
2. Participation: All incarcerated individuals shall be permitted the opportunity to attend their classification hearings. An incarcerated individual may waive his/her presence, in writing. If the incarcerated individual refuses to appear, such refusal shall be documented by appropriate team members and the hearing held in absentia. In cases where attendance is not permitted due to security and/or other substantial reasons, such shall be documented and approved by the warden.
3. Disposition: Written notice will be provided to incarcerated individuals upon completion of the classification process. The notice will indicate the final disposition of the classification action.
4. Appeals: Classification decisions made by the ICC or the warden may be appealed to the next higher authority. Facility assignments are not a classification action and may not be appealed. (5-ACA-5B-07)
  - a. Within 15 calendar days after receipt of a classification decision, an incarcerated individual may file an appeal by giving his/her unit team members a written notice of appeal, see *Classification Appeal Form* (Attachment A).
  - b. The notice of appeal shall identify the decision being appealed, the date of the decision, the date on which the incarcerated individual received a copy of the decision and the reasons why the incarcerated individual contends the decision was incorrect. *Temporary Demotion/Promotion Waiver of Notice and Hearing* (Attachment B)

POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	6 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

- c. Within 10 working days after receiving the written notice of appeal of job classification or good time restoration, the case manager shall send the notice of appeal and a copy of the complete record of the classification proceeding being appealed to the appropriate classification authority. For custody classifications the case manager shall upload the appeal document to NICAMS within 10 working days after receiving the written notice of appeal.
- d. There shall only be one appeal permitted for each classification decision made at the ICC or warden levels.
- e. If an incarcerated individual appeals a classification decision, the classification decision will be in effect while the appeal is pending.

5. **Custody Classification Actions:** The custody classification process begins with completion of the Institutional Risk Assessment (IRA) in NICaMS and subsequent completion of the custody level criteria. This information is documented on the Initial Classification Form – Male and Female or the Reclassification Form – Male and Female. Instructions for completing the IRA, factored custody level and other classification documents will be available in the NDCS Case Management Manual. (ACRS-2A-07)

Custody classification reviews shall be completed according to the following schedule. (ACI-5B-02)

- a. Incarcerated individuals shall have a custody classification review at least every 12 months (calculated from the final approved custody date of the previous classification action). (ACI-5B-06)
- b. Incarcerated individuals who are scheduled for a parole hearing or within three years of his/her tentative release date shall have a custody classification review at least every six months calculated from the final approved custody date of the previous classification action. (Once classified to community B custody, no further custody classification will be necessary while on this custody level)
- c. Unit team members may initiate a custody classification action outside of the regular schedule when a significant event occurs which may impact the incarcerated individual's custody rating. A significant event is defined as: resolution of a detainer, being found guilty of a violent misconduct report, being set for a parole hearing, and/or completing programming. The decision to reclassify should be based on the likelihood of the person promoting/demoting in custody, a review of recent conduct and other outstanding treatment/program recommendations. Where appropriate, spending a minimum of six months at a custody level prior to promotion is preferred.
- d. Incarcerated individuals may request a review of their progress and program status when they believe circumstances have changed which may impact the custody rating. Team members will review the request and



POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	7 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

determine whether to initiate the classification review, considering the following: (ACI-5B-09)

- 1) Program completion
- 2) Change in sentence structure
- 3) Good time restoration, which makes them eligible for a different custody
- 4) Misconduct appeal
- 5) Scheduled parole board hearing
- 6) Any other related factors

e. Additional custody classification reviews may be conducted at the discretion of the assistant deputy director-classification, warden, assistant/deputy director and/or the director/designee. When completing the review, consideration shall be given to completion or refusal of clinical programming, significant behavior changes, assignment to LTRH, etc.

6. Non-custody Classification Actions: Classification actions for non-custody reviews are detailed below, with corresponding requirements.

a. Protective Custody (PC): Incarcerated individuals who are being considered for assignment to, continuation of, or removal from protective custody, shall have a custody classification review completed at the time of referral.

As part of the initial assessment, the on-duty shift supervisor/designee will complete a structured field interview of the incarcerated individual making the request for protective custody. Subsequently, the facility warden/designee shall assign designated facility team members (case manager, unit manager, etc.) to conduct a protective custody investigation (PCI). The assigned team member shall be provided with a copy of the completed structured field interview documentation and shall consult with facility intelligence team members as necessary to complete a thorough PCI. The completed PCI, with confidential information redacted, must be included with the custody classification action. The classification authority shall review all relevant information and approve or deny the request for protective custody.

A person's PC status will be reviewed in conjunction with the regular custody classification schedule. Unit team members shall review the person's behavior while on PC, and central monitoring and consult with the facility intelligence team members. Unit team members will make notation in the comments of any changes or that no change occurred. The classification authority shall determine if PC remains appropriate.

For individuals previously assigned to Protective Custody (PC) before placement in 1A custody, an updated Protective Custody Investigation



Good Life. Great Mission.

DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	8 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

(PCI) must be completed as part of any classification action that results in promotion from 1A custody. This review will determine if a legitimate need for protective custody exists following the custody promotion.

b. Longer-Term Restrictive Housing (LTRH): Incarcerated individuals assigned to LTRH, excluding those assigned solely for the purpose of protective custody, will be reclassified to maximum A custody within 30 days of assignment.

c. Work/education: The warden must approve all off-unit job assignments/reassignments in secure facilities. Incarcerated individuals who have an escape/attempted escape from a secure facility within the last 10 years are prohibited from being classified to off-unit work assignments with exception of education and food service positions that do not require work outside of the confines of the kitchen/dining hall areas (i.e. not allowed to push food carts outside of the kitchen, take trash to dumpsters, work on the loading dock, etc.).

Incarcerated individuals who have a history of assaultive behavior within the last three years are prohibited from being classified to off-unit work assignments with exception to education and food service positions as described above. Assaultive behavior is defined as any class I misconduct report for Assault, Murder/Manslaughter, Sexual Assault or Mutinous Actions or Part 1 offense conviction(s) (1st Degree Murder, 2nd Degree Murder, Manslaughter, 1st Degree Assault, 1st Degree Sexual Assault, 1st Degree Sexual Assault of a Child, Robbery). This policy does not apply to incarcerated individuals housed at a community corrections center or the Work Ethic Camp. Exceptions to these limitations must be made in writing by the warden to the assistant deputy director-classification. The request must list specific justification for the exception.

d. Good time restoration: Requests will be completed in accordance with Policy 117.02 *Restoration of Good Time*.

#### IV. PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS FOR CLASSIFICATION PURPOSES (ACI-5B-04)

For purposes of classification, a psychological evaluation is defined as a summative report generated by a psychologist for the purpose of providing recommendations for an individual's treatment, management, and appropriateness for transition to less restrictive custody. The psychological evaluation may be based on a diagnostic clinical interview, scoring of actuarial risk assessment tools, administration of psychological tests, file review, or any combination of these techniques. A "psychological memo" is typically brief and based on review of clinical assessment/treatment records, including reviews by the Clinical Sex Offense Review Team (CSORT), Clinical Substance Use Review Team (CSURT), and other available records. A diagnostic clinical interview may be done at the clinician's discretion. Both the psychological evaluation and psychological memo should document how any risk to community safety will be mitigated.

Any incarcerated individual who is serving a maximum term of LIFE and/or whose past criminal history or current conviction is for taking the life of another human being or a sexual offense (includes "conspiracy" or "attempted" and offenses with a sexual component but not titled as "sexual") shall have an evaluation completed by a qualified mental health practitioner (QMHP) in

 <b>NEBRASKA</b> Good Life. Great Mission.  <b>DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES</b>	<b>POLICY</b> <b>CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS</b>		
	<b>EFFECTIVE DATE</b> <b>December 31, 2025</b>	<b>NUMBER</b> <b>201.01</b>	<b>PAGE</b> <b>9 of 17</b>
	<b>STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY</b> <b>Law Library Access</b>		

order to promote to minimum B or lesser custody level. The evaluation will be valid for one year beyond the completion date unless significant changes have occurred in that timeframe (e.g., failure at community custody, major misconduct report, mental health decompensation). Convictions adjudicated through the juvenile court system will be excluded from past criminal history and do not require a psychological evaluation for promotion to minimum B or lesser custody level.

In cases involving a LIFE sentence (maximum term is LIFE) or conviction for loss of life, the evaluation may include a diagnostic clinical interview, and will include a review of records, review of institutional behavior, review of clinical treatment and programming recommendations, and participation, and documentation regarding mental health stability and how risk will be managed for community safety if promoted to less restrictive custody. A more comprehensive evaluation is always an option at the discretion of the evaluating QMHP. A mental health memo may be sufficient in cases where clinical treatment was not recommended or was completed successfully, institutional behavior has not involved recent violence, and the incarcerated individual has a pattern of mental health stability.

The QMHP may write a mental health memo in lieu of a the evaluation under certain circumstances involving assessment of patients who have sexually harmed others. It is appropriate if CSORT has not recommended treatment that needs to be completed in a secure facility and in cases where incarcerated individual have completed recommended treatment and are considered to be at average risk or below to sexually recidivate. The incarcerated individual should also have a pattern of mental health stability.

A mental health memo is not appropriate in cases where incarcerated individuals have completed recommended treatment as a person who has sexually harmed others and are considered to be above average risk on actuarial sexual recidivism tools. A mental health memo is not appropriate in cases where the incarcerated individual completed treatment more than six months before the promotion evaluation is undertaken. In both of these situations, an evaluation is required that minimally includes clinical diagnostic interview, review of treatment and assessment records, review of institutional behavior, rescore of risk assessment tools older than six months, and documentation regarding mental health stability and how risk will be managed for community safety if promoted to less restrictive custody.

## V. CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION

The following outlines the custody levels available within NDCS and provides a detailed description of the factors and criteria to assign individuals to the appropriate level. Custody classification is not based on one single factor. A holistic look at the individual is necessary to identify the appropriate custody level to which they should be assigned.

NDCS utilizes a validated instrument to predict a person's behavior in prison. The institutional risk assessment (IRA) predicts a person's likelihood to commit a certain type of infraction within the upcoming six months. This likelihood is documented in the form of one of the following scored risks: violent, serious, non-serious, and low. (ACRS-2A-07)

In addition to scored risk, specific institutional behavior, and sentence structure, special circumstances will be considered including disability. Each case is reviewed by the appropriate decision-making level to review the factored custody level. (ACI-5B-11)



DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	10 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

The classification authority (as defined in Section II of this policy) has the discretion to override the factored custody level in order to assign a person to a custody level different from the set criteria. The decision to override the factored custody level will be documented, clearly stating the reason for the override. Factors that may necessitate an override decision include, but are not limited to, medical needs, treatment or programming needs, pending charges, law enforcement information, time between walkaway or abscond and return to custody, and/or overall security needs of the agency. (ACI-5B-02, ACI-5B-15)

Generally, individuals will promote one custody level at a time (does not apply to initial classification as all incarcerated individuals are considered maximum custody upon admission). Exceptions may be made based on sentence length and/or programming needs. Custody decisions will be effective upon transfer to the appropriate facility/housing assignment. Individuals may be housed in higher security facilities than their current custody level requires as needed.

Youthful incarcerated individuals (males and females under the age of 18) will be housed in a specialized unit and will have not have physical contact with any adult incarcerated individual through use of a shared dayroom, shower area, or sleeping quarters. In areas outside the specialized units, team members will maintain sight and sound separation or provide direct team members supervision when youthful and adult incarcerated individuals have sight, sound or physical contact. (ACI-5B-13)

In the event that a youthful incarcerated individual is housed outside of the specialized unit, a written statement of the specific reasons for said placement shall be prepared. This statement shall include the specific reasons for housing the person outside of the unit and a case management plan specifying what behaviors need to be modified and how the person may return to the facility. This statement of reasons and case management plan must be approved by the warden and assistant deputy director-classification and shall be reviewed at least quarterly by the case manager and the youthful incarcerated individual, the warden and the assistant deputy director-classification to determine whether the youthful offender should be returned to the specialized unit. (ACI-5B-13)

Custody levels are outlined below. Individuals who meet the required criteria for maximum (1A or 1B), medium (2X) or minimum A (3A) custody will be assigned to the highest of those three for which they meet at least one of the required criteria. For example, a person who meets the criteria for maximum custody (serving first year of sentence with a TRD greater than 20 years) and medium custody (risk score is serious) will be assigned to maximum custody. Individuals who do not meet the criteria for 1A, 1B, 2X or 3A will be considered for minimum B (3B), community A (4A) or community B (4B) custody levels.

Four specific criteria must be met to be eligible for 3B, 4A or 4B: 1) scored risk is low; 2) psychological evaluation complete or not required; 3) no detainers, excluding fines/costs; and 4) completion of all required clinical programs not offered at these custody levels. The individual will be assigned to the highest custody level for which they meet the required criteria and any criteria that does not permit a lower custody level.

POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	11 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY	Law Library Access	

A. **Maximum A Custody (1A):** A maximum A custody determination indicates the incarcerated individual is most suitable for housing at a maximum A security facility where interaction and access to others is limited, minimizing the opportunity to perpetrate violence; disruptive behaviors are contained, movement and activities are highly structured and closely monitored.

Individuals assigned to protective custody who require maximum A custody due to their behavior will be removed from protective custody upon assignment to maximum A custody. Prior to placement, the unit management team members at the receiving facility shall review potential conflicts, ensuring placement decisions prioritize mitigating any potential threats or conflicts. Maximum A custody is available only at the Reception and Treatment Center (RTC) and the Tecumseh State Correctional Institution (TSCI). See Policy 210.02 *Special Management Units*.

FACTOR	MAXIMUM A (1A) CRITERIA
<b>Scored Risk</b>	Violent ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
<b>Institutional Behavior</b>	<p>LTRH placement for reasons 1-5 and has been released within the previous 12 months (<i>May not be lower custody level</i>)</p> <p>Guilty findings or specific and reliable information within the previous 18 months that the individual is engaged in extortion, mutinous acts, murder, sexual assault or assault (<i>May not be lower custody level</i>)</p> <p>A pattern of high-risk behavior, as evidenced by guilty findings within the previous 12 months of <u>eight or more</u> of any the following charges: use of threatening language or gestures/fighting; disobeying a direct order; unauthorized areas; and/or drug or intoxicant abuse (<i>May not be lower custody level</i>)</p> <p>Specific reliable information within the previous 12 months that the individual is engaged in trafficking, any of the behavior above or is a documented level 3- disruptive gang member (see policy 203.14 <i>Identification and Monitoring of Gang Affiliation and Activity</i>) (<i>May not be lower custody level</i>)</p>
<b>Sentence Structure</b>	ISDP ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
<b>Special Circumstances</b>	Recommended by MDRT as an alternative to LTRH, Requires clinical treatment or non-clinical programming not available at a lower custody level



DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	12 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY	Law Library Access	

B. Maximum B Custody (1B): A maximum B custody determination indicates the incarcerated individual is most suitable for housing at a maximum security facility where movement and activities within that facility are highly structured and closely monitored.

Possible facility assignments include the RTC, the Nebraska State Penitentiary (NSP), the Nebraska Correctional Center for Women (NCCW), the Nebraska Correctional Youth Facility (NCYF), and TSCI.

FACTOR	MAXIMUM (1B) CRITERIA
<b>Scored Risk</b>	Violent ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
<b>Institutional Behavior</b>	Guilty findings within the previous 24 months of extortion, mutinous acts, murder, sexual assault or assault ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> ) ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
	Documented level 2-Active gang member(see policy 203.14 <i>Identification and Monitoring of Gang Affiliation and Activity</i> ) ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
<b>Sentence Structure</b>	LWOP or “de facto Life” and is serving the first two years of sentence ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
	TRD is greater than 20 years and is serving the TRD is greater than 20 years and is serving the first year of sentence. ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
<b>Special Circumstances</b>	Escape or walkaway within previous 12 months. (excludes abscond from parole) ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )



DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	13 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY	Law Library Access	

C. Medium Custody (2X): A medium custody determination indicates the incarcerated individual is most suitable for housing at a medium or maximum security facility. Incarcerated individuals assigned to medium custody have their activities and movements moderately controlled and structured.

Possible facility assignments include RTC, NSP, NCCW, NCYF, the Omaha Correctional Center (OCC) (generally within five years of release), and TSCI.

FACTOR	MEDIUM (2X) CRITERIA
Scored Risk	Serious ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
Institutional Behavior	Escape from secure custody within previous 10 years (excludes community custody and absconding parole) ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
	Possession of a cell phone within previous 12 months (excludes community corrections centers) ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
Sentence Structure	LWOP or "de facto Life" and has already served the first two years of sentence ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
	TRD is greater than 20 years and has already served the first year of sentence as maximum and has not served one year at medium custody ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
	PED is greater than 10 years ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
	Felony detainer for "highest severity" offense ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
Special Circumstances	Approved for protective custody ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )



DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	14 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

D. **Minimum A Custody (3A):** A minimum A custody assignment indicates the incarcerated individual is most suitable for housing at a minimum or medium security facility. Incarcerated individuals assigned to minimum A custody may be assigned to an open dormitory living environment. Possible facility assignments include the Nebraska State Penitentiary, the Omaha Correctional Center (generally within five years of release), the Nebraska Correctional Center for Women, and the Nebraska Youth Correctional Facility.

FACTOR	MINIMUM A (3A) CRITERIA
<b>Scored Risk</b>	Non-serious ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
<b>Sentence Structure</b>	TRD greater than 20 years and having served the first year of sentence at maximum custody and one year at medium custody. ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> ) TRD is greater than 4.5 years and PED is less than 10 years and no Parole Board Hearing is scheduled ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
<b>Special Circumstances</b>	Incarcerated individual is under the age of 18 ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> ) Psychological evaluation necessary, but not completed ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> ) Felony detainer for "high, moderate or low severity" offense or ICE detainer ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> ) Criminal charges currently pending ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> ) Requires clinical programming not available at lower custody level ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )



POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	15 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

E. Minimum B (3B): A minimum B custody assignment is appropriate only for individuals who are admitted to a hospital for the purpose of childbirth. This custody level is no longer used for males within NDCS. Males who meet this criteria, but do not meet the criteria for community A or B custody, will be classified to minimum A custody level..

FACTOR	MINIMUM B (3B) CRITERIA
<b>Institutional Behavior</b>	Guilty findings of any class I misconduct report within previous 12 months. (May not be lower custody level)
	Removal from community A or B custody within previous 12 months. (May not be lower custody level)
<b>Sentence Structure</b>	Parole Board Hearing scheduled and/or TRD within 4.5 years ( <i>REQUIRED for this custody level, but does not exclude lower custody level</i> ) Parole Board Hearing or TRD is greater than one year and has recommendation for clinical or non-clinical programming offered at this custody level ( <i>May not be lower custody level</i> )
<b>Special Circumstances</b>	Current offense is not first-degree murder (charged as Class I felony) ( <i>REQUIRED for this custody level, but does not exclude lower custody level</i> )
	Specific medical and/or mental health needs can be met at this custody level ( <i>REQUIRED for this custody level, but does not exclude lower custody level</i> )
	Escape or walk away during any period of incarceration (does not include abscond from parole). (May not be lower custody level)



POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	16 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

F. Community A (4A): Assignment to community A custody ("work/education detail") indicates the incarcerated individual is suitable for a significantly less structured environment where they can interact more regularly with the public while working in a detail assignment in the community under intermittent supervision. Community A custody is the initial step in providing for a graduated release through a systematic decrease in supervision and corresponding increase in responsibility. Community A custody serves as the incarceration work camp as required by Neb. Rev. Stat. 83-4,142, and provides structured programming, including, but not limited to: work programs; vocational training; substance use treatment and education; other programming needs and reentry planning. Individuals are eligible for this custody level when they are within three years of release; however, the preferred time frame for placement at minimum community A is 18 months.

Detail assignments may include work and/or off-site education and/or vocational training. Individuals on education detail who are more than 12 months from their TRD or parole hearing may be required to use electronic monitoring and provide regular check-ins with the facility. Individuals on education detail must also maintain an institutional work assignment, for which they will be compensated. To be eligible for education detail, the individual must, at a minimum, meet all requirements of the learning facility.

Possible facility assignments include the Community Corrections Center – Lincoln and the Community Corrections Center – Omaha.

FACTOR	COMMUNITY A (4A) CRITERIA
<b>Sentence Structure</b>	Parole Board Hearing within two years and/or TRD within three years ( <i>REQUIRED for this custody level</i> )
<b>Special Circumstances</b>	Specific medical and/or mental health needs can be met at this custody level ( <i>REQUIRED for this custody level, but does not exclude lower custody level</i> )

G. Community B (4B): An assignment to community B custody ("work release") indicates the individual is ready to seek and obtain work in the community without team member supervision. Assignment to community B custody is based on behavior and sentence; individuals may be demoted in custody at the warden's discretion. The individual must complete the Work/Educational Release Application, which will require the warden's approval prior to promotion. Participation on work release is restricted to the State of Nebraska. Possible facility assignments include the Community Corrections Center - Lincoln and the Community Corrections Center - Omaha.

Maintenance fees will be waived for individuals who are classified to community B custody status and are laid off or terminated from their jobs due to widespread pandemic illness. This will be accomplished to changing their job status temporarily to "quarantine;" notification will be made to NDCS accounting team members when the change occurs and when the individual returns to a work status.

FACTOR	COMMUNITY B (4B) CRITERIA
<b>Sentence Structure</b>	Parole Board Hearing within 12 months and/or TRD within 12 months ( <i>REQUIRED for this custody level</i> )
<b>Special Circumstances</b>	Spent at least 30 days at community A custody within the previous 12 months ( <i>REQUIRED for this custody level</i> )



Good Life. Great Mission.

DEPT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

POLICY		
CLASSIFICATION OF INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS		
EFFECTIVE DATE	NUMBER	PAGE
December 31, 2025	201.01	17 of 17
STATEMENT OF AVAILABILITY		Law Library Access

## REFERENCE

- I. STATUTORY REFERENCE AND OTHER AUTHORITY
  - A. Neb. Rev. Stat. §28-319 to §28-322.04, §83-4,142
- II. NDCS POLICIES
  - A. Policy 117.02 *Restoration of Good Time*
  - B. Policy 203.14 *Identification and Monitoring of Gang Affiliation and Activity*
  - C. Policy 210.02 *Special Management Units*
- III. ATTACHMENTS
  - A. Classification Appeal Form
  - B. Temporary Demotion/Promotion Waiver of Notice and Hearing
- IV. AMERICAN CORRECTIONAL ASSOCIATION (ACA)
  - A. Expected Practices for Adult Correctional Institutions (ACI) (5<sup>th</sup> edition): 5-ACI-2B-02, 5-ACI-5B-01, 5-ACI-5B-02, 5-ACI-5B-03, 5-ACI-5B-04, 5-ACI-5B-06, 5-ACI-5B-08, 5-ACI-5B-09, 5-ACI-5B-11, 5-ACI-5B-13
  - B. Standards for Adult Community Residential Services (ACRS) (4<sup>th</sup> edition): 4-ACRS-2A-07, 4-ACRS-2A-08, 4-ACRS-5A-01, 4-ACRS-5A-06, 4-ACRS-6A-12